

UNITED STATES DEP TMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 28724/34520

09/030,989 02/26/98 NAZARIAN

021839 LM12/0211

BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS

P 0 BOX 1404

ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404

ZIMMERMAN, B

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2735

DATE MAILED:

02/11/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/030,989

Applicant

Nazarian

Examiner

Brian Zimmerman

Group Art Unit 2735

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever allure to respond within the period for response will cause the ctensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	
<u> </u>	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr	rawing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED cop	·
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serie	al Number)
\square received in this national stage application from	m the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa	per No(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	10-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

5

10

15

20

DOUBLE PATENTING

1. Claims 16-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-15 of U. S. Patent No. 5813972 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Medical Profusion System with Communication Network.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

ART REJECTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dais (5524213) and Omori (5820414).

Dais shows a medical communication system which includes a bus and interface units connected to the bus and also to peripheral units. See col. 1 line 11+. The interface units generate messages in the form of digital data packets. Dais does not expressly show the interface unit to be within a housing and have different shaped coupling means for coupling to 1) the bus, and 2) the peripheral.

In an analogous art, Omori shows an interface adapter which connects a circuit board 1 to a bus 19a. The interface adapter includes processing elements 16 and 17 and includes connector means which have different shaped couplers as claimed, to provide connection and improvement of the IC card.

The examiner takes note that a profusion device is a well known medical instrument that would have fallen under the medical application taught by Dais.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized an interface unit in the shape of Omori in the Dais system in order to provide connection and improvement to the peripheral unit of Dais.

OTHER PRIOR ART CITED

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10

5

15

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Zimmerman whose telephone number is (703) 305-4796.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Brian Zimmerman Patent Examiner Art Unit 2735

703-305-4796 February 09, 2000

10

5